

## Abstract

**Title:** Relation between selected off-ice tests, somatotype and performance in on-ice Illinois Agility tests in junior ice hockey players from Czech elite category.

**Objective:** The aim of this thesis was to verify whether the somatotype and off-ice tests are significant predictors for performance in on-ice agility tests in junior ice hockey players from Czech elite category.

**Methods:** The research sample consisted of 32 junior hockey players (17 defenders and 15 forwards)  $\bar{x} = 17.64 \pm 1.02$  from Czech elite category. The Heath and Carter (1990) method for measuring of somatotype was used. The off-ice tests included Squat Jump, Countermovement Jump, Free Arm Countermovement Jump, Broad Jump, Five-Jump test, Sit and Reach, Pull-ups and off-ice Illinois Agility with (small size) ball. Further, on-ice Illinois Agility with puck and Illinois Agility without puck were used as dependent variables. The differences in performance between defenders and forwards were analysed by Aspin-Welch's two-sample T-test with Effect size (ES) *Hedges's g*. The degree of significance of the predictors for dependent variables in on-ice agility tests was assessed by multiple regression analysis with significance of model at the level of  $p < 0.05$  and ES described as adjusted  $R^2$ .

**Results:** Comparison of the results achieved by defenders and forwards revealed that the defenders had a higher endomorphy (relative fatness) with moderate ES *Hedges's g* = 0.45 and had more muscle mass on the limbs. In contrast, the forwards were significantly more skeletally robust on both upper and lower limbs *Hedges's g* = 0.39 – 0.61. In motor tests, the defenders had a better level of lower limbs explosiveness in the Countermovement Jump ES *Hedges's g* = 0.46; Free Arm Countermovement Jump test *Hedges's g* = 0.38.

On the other hand, there were no significant differences in the on-ice tests regarding players' positions. A further regression analysis showed that none of somatotype components is significant predictors for on-ice performance; however, it was revealed that the off-ice Illinois Agility test with ball is the main predictor in the on-ice Illinois Agility without puck performance ( $b = 0.32$ ) ( $F = 3.27$ ;  $p < 0.01$ ) as well as in the on-ice Illinois Agility with puck. Moreover, we also revealed that in addition to off-ice Illinois Agility with ball the skeletal robustness on the lower limbs FrameD is a significant predictor for the on-ice Illinois Agility with puck ( $F = 11.9$ ;

$p < 0.001$ ). This model explained the  $R^2$  model = 41 % of variance of dependent variable. This model also showed that the off-ice Illinois Agility with ball ( $R^2 = 24$  %;  $p < 0.01$ ) was suggested as more important than FrameD ( $R^2 = 10$  %;  $p < 0.05$ ).

**Conclusion:** These results suggest that somatic parameters of active muscle mass and skeletal robustness should be considered important in long-term player monitoring. Likewise, outside training sessions, players should have a free off-ice game, which significantly contributes to the acquisition of specific hockey skills applied on ice.

**Keywords:** ice hockey, somatotype, off-ice tests, on-ice tests, Illinois Agility test